File No. 35 9.04-05
Serial Nos. 1-4

190 L

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(III Prisons)

Part A: Manuscript
for January 1905

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SEC

Secretariat - - (III (Prisons)
Jr. dtd.

NOTES

FILE HEADING.

Permanent advance for the office of the I General of Prisons.

From

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

No. 1188-J Dated 5-11-04 Received 7-11-04

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.
J 935			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction to the grant of a permanent advance of Rs 50 to enable him to meet expenses of an urgent nature.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd for orders | The financial Branch unable to say whether any permanent advan has been sanctioned to the Inspr general of Pri A draft letter to the Comptroller is putup ~~after~~ ~~been~~ forwarding the above for his sanction

NOTES—First sheet.

12-11-04

MGGPB—25,000—18-8-03

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 35 of 1904-05.

V.S. Ilangakoon
Wellawa

2/11/04.

Letter No 31231 dated the 15th November 190
the Comptroller, forwarding the above for opinion
Letter no 3263/621 dated 10.12.04, from the
Comptroller.

Referred to the above, states that
G.J. Prisno holds already 4 permanent
advances amounting to Rs 830/- and that
Vide IV
vide a seperate permanent advance
way one of the departments in charge of a single offic
opposed to Art: 77 Civil Account Co
He therefore suggests that all the
ermanent Advances held by a sing
ficer may be combined into a s
dvance as contemplated by the Cod

ubt with a draft order stating that the recom
the Comptroller is accepted.

3/12/04 3. To the Asst Secy, H Bran
for favour of opinion.

K.S Ilangakoon
Asst Secy
13-12

Serial No.

4. I am not quite sure if the Comptr. has rightly construed art 77 C.A.C. I think what it prohibits is the grant of separate advances to the several departments of the same office and not the grant of separate advances to independent offices. The article speaks of a single advance for the whole office and the example given in it shows that the office contemplated is one which may have several departments or branches and not a combination of offices which may happen to be under the same officer.

5. The maintenance of a permanent advance sufficient for meeting petty contingent expenditure which differs according to the needs of each office and the utilization of one advance to meet the requirements of more than one office interferes with the independence of each office and does not appear to be quite practicable.

6. The system of combining permanent advances does not seem to be in vogue in Madras (vide appendix to Madras Treasury Manual where the Accountant General is shown as holding 4 advances for the four independent offices of which he is the head).

7. I think the Medical Store, Vaccine Institute, Senior Surgeons Office

Serial No.

& the Plague Dept are independent offices and the grant of a single advance to all these is neither required by rule nor recommended by Convenience.

8. The office of the Inspector General of Prisons is quite independent & may therefore be given a separate advance according to requirements.

M. V. Krishnaswami Rao
Nov 15/12/04

Branch III

Submitted for orders.

9. I think Mr Krishnadas view is correct. Put up draft sanctioning the advance asked for by the D.J. of Prisons.

10.
Subd with a draft order.

17-12-04

Seen
19-12-04

Draft submitted & the Order passed thro the Council

146
20/12/04.

B. Venkatarama Rao
19.12.1904

11. I see no objection to the grant of a separate allotment to the D.J. of Prisons who has many local officers

Serial No.

submitted for sanction various
Contingencies
Charges under the Head "Audit"
Financial Branch &c.
Contingent Draft may be
C.M.
issued

24.12.04

24/12/04

37
27.12.04

Draft approved by the Dewan.

28-12-04

4. Govt. to the D.G. of Prisons and Comptroller, Govt. Order No. J1747-8/Pr:35-4 dated the 3rd January 1905.

Observes that the Construction put upon article 77 of the Civil Account Code by the Comptroller is not correct, that what that Section article prohibits is the grant of separate advances to the several departments or branches of the same office and not the grant of separate advances to independent offices though they happen for the time being to be under the same officer and sanction as the office of the Inspector General of Prisons being an independent one, sanctions the grant of a permanent advance of Rs 50 as desired by that officer.

Letter No. 1188 of T. Office of the Inspr. Genl. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated ~~Bangalore,~~ 5th November 1904.

From

Lt.-Colonel J. Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore,

~~Bangalore.~~

To

B.K.Venkatavaradaiengar Esqr.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, ~~Bangalore~~

Sir,

I have the honor to brin to the notice of Government that much inconvenience is being experienced for want of a permanent advance to meet the urgent expenses of Contingen nature, such as, Telegram charges, purchase of articles fo the use of the Office on ready payment and payment of Offi rent &ca.

I request that you will be so good as to obtain sanct of Govern ent to place at my disposal as permanent advance sum of Rs.50/- to enable me to meet such emergent expenses The amount of the permanent advance will be accounted for according to Rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,
Lt.-Colonel I.M.S.,
Offg. Inspector General of Prisons

T.C.G.

Tri / Crim / 7/11 / (Prisons) 9935

1904 File No 35

S-No 1.

From The Offg Insp Genl of Prisons in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Dated 5.11.04 Genl Register

Despatched 5.11.04

Received

General No. 1188

No. 97

Enclosure No.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Govt for a permanent advance of Rs 50/- to this Office

Was there no permt advance sanctioned before? If none, please state the reasons

G.R.S. 26]

~~Police~~ Prisons
File No. 35 ~~Pol~~ 0.
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

Letter No. J 1231 / Pris 35-2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Parisons)
, *the* 15/11/1904

To
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

Date of issue 15/11/04

Sir

Signed by Mr K S Chandra Sekhara Aiyar

I am directed to forward herewith for ~~favour of~~ your information a copy of letter No 1188-J dated the 5th November 1904, from ~~the~~ Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore, requesting sanction for a permanent advance of Rs 50 being placed at his disposal to meet expenses of an urgent nature.

I have etc

Secretary

over.

SR 12-11-04 12-11-04

SUBJECT

~~Bangalore~~, dated 10 December 190

File no 350/04
S. no. 3.

From

Henry Bagles, Esq.,

Comptroller of Mysore,

~~B a n g a l o r e~~

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

~~Sir,~~

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.J1231-Pris:35-2 of the 15th stant forwarding for my opinion copy of the requisition fr Inspector General of Prisons for a permanent advance of Rs to meet contingent expenses, I have the honor to state tha officer holds already 4 Permanent advances, amounting to R 830, as noted in the margin. The present system of granti separate permanent advance f every one of the Departments charge of a single officer is n only opposed to the Code (Vide Art:77 Civil Account Code) but also unnecessarily increases t amount of the Permanent Advance, in as much as each advance h to be kept distinct from the rest, and while some of the ad- vances are frequently spent and recouped, others are lying id with the officer and cannot be made use in connection with ot Departments, though they may be under his charge.

For Medical Stores	Rs.	500
" Vaccine Institute	"	200
" Senior Surgeon's Office		30
" Plague Department	"	100
Total Rs.		830

I am therefore of opinion that all the Permanent Advance held by a single officer should be combined into a single adv as contemplated by the Code, so that the officer may utilise amount in connection with the expenditure of all the Departme under his charge and recoupe himself- as often as may be nece sary- by drawing money from the Treasury on separate Abstract Contingent bills, one for each Department. This arrangement will not only reduce the No of Permanent advances but will al render it possible to grant as Permanent advance a sum much l

than what would be otherwise.

3. Should the Government agree with me in the above view, there will I think be no necessity for the additional Permanent Advance now asked for.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Eagles

Comptroller.

G.R.S. 28]

File .

Seria

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Prisons

, *the* 3/1/05

Date of issue 3/1/05

Signed by Offg. D. M. Narasinga Rao.

3/1/05

Proceedings of the Government of His H the Maharaja of Mysore.

READ.—

Letter No. 1185 dated the 5th November 1904, from the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore, requesting sanction to the grant of a permanent advance of Rs 50 to meet emergent contingent expenses of his department.

~~ORDER THEREON—No.~~

2. Letter No. 3263 B&R:624 dated the 10th December 1904, from the Comptroller Mysore Government, stating in reply to Govt letter No. J1231-82:35-2 dated the

over

SUBJECT

e 15th November 1904, that the present
ystem of granting a separate permanent
dvance for every one of the depart-
ents in charge of a single officer
s not only opposed to article 77 of
e Civil Account Code but also un-
ecessarily increases the amount of
e permanent advance inasmuch
s each advance has to be kept
istinct from the rest and that while
ome of the advances are frequently
ent and recouped, others are lying
le with the officer & cannot be
ade use of in connection with
her departments though they may
e under his charge.

To. The Director & Comptroller

Order thereon. No. 9 1747-8 Dt. 3/1/05.
Paid: 35-4 & No.

The Constructing purpose

article 77 of the Civil Account Code
y the Comptroller does not appear
the ~~quite free from doubt~~ correct. What
hat article prohibits is the grant of
eparate advances to the several

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

departments or branches of the same office and not the grant of ~~11~~ separate advances to ~~in~~dependant though they happen for the time being to be under the control of the same officer. The example given therein contemplates a single office which may have several departments or branches and not a combination of different offices which may happen to be under the same officer.

2. The maintenance of a permanent advance being only to meet contingent expenditure which differs according to the needs of each office, the utilization of one advance to meet the requirements of more than one office, ~~not~~ may, while interfering with the independance of each office, ~~at the same be not~~ quite practicable or convenient. when at all inconvenient.

3. ~~With those~~ The office of the Inspector General of Prisons having an independant one, ~~the Govt~~ are pleased to sanction the grant of a permanent advance of Rs 50, as desired by ~~the Dy~~ him

28.X11.04

Dy. Inspr Genl of Prisons 29.12.04.

Comptroller

Copied 31/12/04.

Examined 31/12/04

17/12/04

29.12.04

Secretary